



N.C. Department of Public Safety

Division of Adult Correction and Juvenile Justice

FY 2022-2023 Intensive Intervention Services

Request for Proposals

Introduction and Scope of Services

The Department of Public Safety, Division of Adult Corrections and Juvenile Justice, Community Programs Section (hereafter, Department) ensures that every community in North Carolina has access to a continuum of services for its juvenile population. The continuum of services includes those services titled Intensive Intervention Services under Session Law 2020-83 (<https://www.ncleg.gov/Sessions/2019/Bills/House/PDF/H593v7.pdf>).

Intensive Intervention Services are evidence-based or research-supported community-based or residential services that are necessary for a juvenile in order to (i) prevent the juvenile's commitment to a youth development center or detention facility, or (ii) facilitate the juvenile's successful return to the community following commitment. Intensive Intervention Services shall be used for the purpose of providing intensive intervention services for juveniles of any disposition level, based on the needs of the juvenile, as ordered pursuant to G.S. 7B-2506 (See Attachment A).

With the Raise the Age Legislation (<https://www.ncdps.gov/our-organization/juvenile-justice/key-initiatives/raise-age-nc>) in effect, an increasingly important piece of the continuum in Juvenile Justice is intensive intervention services. All adjudicated juveniles ages 10 and up, including juveniles who are ages 18 to 21 and still under the jurisdiction of juvenile court, may be accepted by Intensive Intervention Services, as appropriate for the proposed model.

The Department reserves the right to release RFPs that are location or program type specific based on priority needs identified.

The Department has identified program priorities within this RFP for the Intensive Intervention Services funding stream for Fiscal Year 22-23. These priorities are based on gaps and needs that have been identified for the Juvenile Justice population through various avenues. Vendors responding to this RFP are not limited to these program priorities in their response. Details regarding the prioritized programs are included in this posting. All requirements of this RFP posting must be met in order to be eligible for funding.

Priorities

Under this RFP, priority will be given to applications proposing programming which:

- a) Serve the required target population (**see Target Population, Proposed Programming and Service Area**);
- b) Provide evidence-based/evidence-supported programming which will reduce recidivism for youth served;
- c) Deliver intensive intervention services (see **Attachment E: Priority Services and Definitions** for acceptable service types);
- d) Provide the identified services by Judicial District(s) and are regional programs that are delivered through the collaborative efforts of two or more Juvenile Crime Prevention Councils; and
- e) Include on-going collaboration with Juvenile Court Services personnel and other community partners.

Target Population, Proposed Programming and Service Area

The Target Population includes juveniles who meet the below criteria. This juvenile population will, hereafter, be referred to as the, "target population".

- a) All adjudicated juveniles ages 10 and up, including juveniles who are ages 18 up to age 21 and still under the jurisdiction of juvenile court, may be accepted by Intensive Intervention services as appropriate for the proposed model and the needs of the juvenile.
- b) Youth must be referred by a Juvenile Court Counselor.
- c) The primary target populations for the identified services are juveniles who have received a Level II Disposition and their parent(s)/guardian(s) of those juveniles. Level III juveniles transitioning from a Youth

Development Center are also eligible.

- d) Selected Level I adjudicated juveniles, with a Medium/High Risk or a Medium/High Needs Score may be referred.

Additional target population descriptions are included in **Attachment: D Prioritized Programs for FY 22-23**. Unless otherwise stated, the target population described in this RFP also applies to the target population of the prioritized programs.

Proposed programming must:

- a) Include evidence-based/supported approaches for residential and/or community-based intensive services and/or re-entry services (step-down services from residential placement) for target population juveniles and their families.
- b) Fill a gap in the service delivery continuum within the local community (services that are a duplication of efforts already being undertaken in the local community will not be considered for funding);
- c) Serve only the target population;
- d) Offer a service component that is therapeutic and family-focused; and
- e) Address the needs of the target population.

Service Area:

If the program serves more than a single county, the service area must:

- a) Encompass the area as defined by a Judicial District(s), identifying a lead county for which the disbursement of awarded funds will be delivered through the local county finance office; and/or
- b) Be regional and the provider must engage collaboratively with two or more Juvenile Crime Prevention Councils within or among Judicial District(s) to provide the identified Level II intermediate dispositional alternatives for juveniles within that/those Judicial District(s). **See Attachment A: DPS Area Counties and Judicial Districts**

Program Priorities

The Department has identified program priorities for the Intensive Intervention Services funding stream for Fiscal Year 22-23. These priorities are based on gaps and needs that have been identified for the Juvenile Justice population through various avenues. Vendors responding to this RFP are not limited to these program priorities in their response. ***The program priorities are as follows:***

- a) Programming to assess and treat juveniles with sex offenses or identified problematic sexual behavior
- b) Enhanced Assessments for those juveniles that are adjudicated with a suspicion of an Severe Emotional Disturbance/Severe Mental Illness /Intellectual and Developmental Disability/Developmental Delay diagnosis and meet the criteria for Youth Development Centers or Psychiatric Residential Treatment Facilities.

See **Attachment: D Prioritized Programs for FY 22-23** for programmatic requirements for these priority areas.

The Department is requiring that any vendor applying for funding for any program or service type identified as "Foster Care" in Attachment B. must be willing to take crisis placement youth from any county across the state of North Carolina.

Eligibility

All applicants must:

- a) Be a public agency or private non-profit organization (14B NCAC 11B.0201);
- b) Submit proposals that clearly align with identified and documented service needs as assessed through the local Juvenile Crime Prevention Council (JCPC) or via collaboration of two or more JCPCs that have established a need for residential and/or non-residential community-based intensive services for the target population, and can provide evidence-based services that can clearly support targeted needs;
- c) Demonstrate a proven track record of implementing residential and/or community-based intensive services for the youth described in this RFP, effective fiscal oversight, and collaboration with Juvenile Court Services;
- d) Demonstrate organizational capacity for fiscal, programmatic, and administrative accountability and the ability to begin operations quickly and efficiently; and
- e) Collaborate with Juvenile Court Services personnel and other community partners to develop 24-hour supervision plans when providing services to all Level III youth and to Level II youth as needed.

NOTE: Level III Youth receiving services on community placement require a 24-hour supervision plan developed by the provider, court services, youth, family, and any other collaborating partners.

Funding Period

The funding period for this RFP is July 1, 2022, to June 30, 2023, and contingent upon available funds.

There will be no biennium funding process under these awards.

Proposal Requirements and Submission Process

To be considered for funding, applicants must:

- a) Show that the proposed services meet an identified service need within the proposed geographic area(s) of service delivery to the target population;
- b) Complete and submit an online application in NCALLIES no later than 11:59 p.m. on March 7, 2022. The application can be accessed by [clicking here](#) and following the directions listed on the webpage. All Vendors must submit an application under the funding source, "Intensive Intervention" in NCALLIES.
- c) Choose a promising or effective program(s) from:

Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) Model Programs Guide <http://www.ojjdp.gov/mpg>

or

Office of Justice Programs (OJP) CrimeSolutions.gov <http://www.crimesolutions.gov/>

or

Results First Clearinghouse Database

<https://www.pewtrusts.org/en/research-and-analysis/data-visualizations/2015/results-first-clearinghouse-database>

or

Thoroughly demonstrate how proposed services are evidence-supported and reduce recidivism for the targeted population;

and

- d) **Not for profit organizations** must also upload the following documents into NCALLIES by the established due date and time in order for the application to be complete:
 - 1) No Overdue Tax Form (must be notarized);
 - 2) DPS Conflict of Interest Policy Statement (must be notarized);
 - 3) Non-profit agency's Proof of 501(c)(3) status; and
 - 4) Non-profit agency's Conflict of Interest policy.

NOTE: #1 and #2 listed above can be accessed by [clicking here](#)

- e) **All applicants must upload a Host** county JCPC electronic letter of approved support or an email offering support from the JCPC Chair (or member of the JCPC executive committee) with original program agreement, prior to awarding of funds;
 - Please contact your Area Consultant or Area Office if the applicant is experiencing difficulty with acquiring the letter of support, given the state of emergency at the time of this RFP release.
 - The letter of support must be uploaded with the final approved application in NCALLIES before funding can be disbursed to programs awarded funds.

NOTE: Letters of support must state, at a minimum, how the proposed services will:

- Address the targeted population,
- Fill a gap in the service delivery continuum within the local community/geographic region, and
- Not duplicate efforts already being undertaken in the local community.
- The Juvenile Crime Prevention Council Chairperson Directory may be found at the following link: [Click here.](#)

- f) Programs that are new to the Intensive Intervention Services funding stream application process must watch the Intensive Intervention Services RFP training on YouTube, found here: [Intensive Intervention Services RFP Information Session](#); and attest that they have completed it by signing the attestation under **Attachment G** and uploading it into NC ALLIES with their application by 11:59 p.m. on March 7, 2022.

Evaluation

Pursuant to Session Law 2020-83 HB 593, "The Juvenile Justice Section of the Division of Adult Correction and Juvenile Justice of the Department of Public Safety shall report to the Senate and House of Representatives Appropriations Subcommittees on Justice and Public Safety no later than March 1, 2006, and annually thereafter, on the results of intensive intervention services. Intensive intervention services are evidence-based or research-supported community-based or residential services that are necessary for a juvenile in order to (i) prevent the juvenile's commitment to a youth development center or detention facility or (ii) facilitate the juvenile's successful return to the community following commitment. Specifically, the report shall provide a detailed description of each intensive intervention service, including the numbers of juveniles served, their adjudication status at the time of service, the services and treatments provided, the length of service, the total cost per juvenile, and the six- and 12-month recidivism rates for the juveniles after the termination of program services."

Vendors must incorporate the Core Components in Attachment C: Core Components in their response.

See Attachment C: Core Components.

Review Criteria for Proposals

The Department will review each proposal for:

- a. Evidence-based or best practices and research-supported interventions appropriate to the target population proposed.
- b. Appropriateness of the program to address the needs of the target population identified.
- c. Services that fill a gap in the continuum within the local community/geographic region and do not duplicate efforts already being undertaken in the local community.
- d. Presentation of a budget that matches the proposed service.
- e. Evidence of the agency's capacity to administer a DPS funded program, including ability to comply with reporting and accountability requirements in a timely manner.

The Department may consider the following:

- a. Programs that meet the criteria outlined for service types in Attachment B: Services and Definitions.
- b. The commitment rates or frequency with which the court orders commitment as a disposition for the juveniles served.
- c. The criminogenic needs of the juveniles served.
- d. Programs that target juveniles in rural areas.
- e. Diverse geographical representation across the State.
- f. Programs that utilize collaboration among counties.
- g. Demonstration of community support with cash or in-kind resources, including but not limited to, county appropriations or Medicaid reimbursements. (Proposals that include community cash or in-kind resources in the project budget must include documentation of the intent to provide that support and justification of the value claimed.) NOTE: These funds require no local match.
- a. Programs that have historically met and exceeded program goals/measurable objectives when providing services to this population.

Selection process

The Department's State Office Review Team will review, and rate proposals based on the information provided in the application and matching requirements of this RFP, and will present a funding decision to Division management for a final funding approval.

Timeline for RFP and Program Implementation


January 5, 2022-March 7, 2022	Request for Proposals Advertised
March 7, 2022 By 11:59 p.m.	Application Deadline <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Application must be submitted in NCALLIES• All required attachments must be uploaded to the application in NCALLIES, under, "Upload Documents"• <u>Host</u> county JCPC electronic letter of approved support or email offering support from the JCPC Chair (or member of the JCPC executive committee) should be uploaded when the program agreement is submitted in NCALLIES, if possible.• <u>Please</u> contact your Area Consultant or Area Office if the applicant is experiencing difficulty with acquiring the letter of support, given the state of emergency at the time of this RFP release. The letter of support <i>must</i> be uploaded in NCALLIES prior to the Department disbursing any funds to programs awarded funds.
June 3, 2022	Anticipated notification of funding to applicants.
June 30, 2022	Revised, edited Program Application completed in NCALLIES.
July 1, 2022	Funding begins (contingent upon the completion of the required signatures in NCALLIES).

Contact Information

Questions about this RFP should be directed to the DPS Area Consultant assigned to the county where services are being proposed.

See Attachment D: DPS Area Consultant County Assignments.

ATTACHMENT A: DPS Area Counties and Judicial Districts



ATTACHMENT B: Services and Definitions

RESIDENTIAL PROGRAMS

Programs where services are delivered in a residential setting

JCPC PROGRAM TYPES

Group Home Care: Provides twenty-four hour care for a residential placement lasting six to eight months in a therapeutic or structured family-like environment for youth. Includes intervention with client's family during and after placement and targets a reduction in offending behavior and recidivism. (Length of Stay= 90+ days, Frequency of Contact=NA)

Temporary Shelter Care: Provides group home care and shelter (up to 90 days) for juveniles who need to be temporary removed from their homes during a family crisis.

(Length of Stay= up to 90 days, Frequency of Contact=NA)

Runaway Shelter Care: Provides shelter care for juveniles who have run away from home, are homeless or otherwise need short term care (15 days or less) while arrangements are made for their return home.

(Length of Stay= up to 15 days, Frequency of Contact=NA)

Specialized Foster Care: Provides care for youth with serious behavioral or emotional problems through foster parents whose special training is designed to help them understand and provide needed support for children who are placed in their care.

(Length of Stay= flexible, Frequency of Contact=NA)

Temporary Foster Care: Provides short-term (up to 60 days) emergency foster care for diverted or adjudicated juveniles who need to be temporary removed from their home during a family crisis. Foster parents have been specially trained to understand and support the youth placed in their care.

(Length of Stay= up to 60 days, Frequency of Contact=NA)

POSSIBLE SPEP PRIMARY SERVICE CLASSIFICATIONS

For services which may be provided in the above types. Consider the following SPEP service types whether or not the service meets the optimal dosage.

- Individual Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=25, Optimal Target hours=30)
- Group Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=24, Optimal Target hours=40)
- Mixed Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=25, Optimal Target hours=25)

Could also have possible qualifying Supplemental Service of Behavioral Contracting/Management

- Family Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=20, Optimal Target hours=30)
- Family Crisis Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=4, Optimal Target hours=8)
- Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (Optimal Target Weeks=15, Optimal Target hours=45)
- Behavior management (Optimal Target Weeks=24, Optimal Target hours= 72) – The total programming structure and activities of the program are all tied into a behavior management environment which consists of earning points or tokens to achieve previously set goals. A behavior management classification should not be given to programs which merely use periodic rewards or incentives to increase motivation.

Could also have possible qualifying Supplemental Service of Mentoring, Mixed Counseling, or Remedial Academic Program

- Social Skills Training (Optimal Target Weeks=16, Optimal Target hours=24)
- Remedial Academic Program (Optimal Target Weeks=26, Optimal Target hours=100)

Could also have possible qualifying Supplemental Service of Job Training, Work Experience, Vocational Counseling

COMMUNITY DAY PROGRAMS

JCPC PROGRAM TYPES

Juvenile Structured Day Programs: Programs that offer well supervised and highly structured program of service to youth. Such service may enable youth to remain in the community. Clients may be long-term suspended from school or have behavior that might otherwise result in placement in detention. Typically, this type structure serves youth who are court involved and referrals are made from juvenile court counselors. Programs can either be full day or partial day (emphasis on service in the afternoon/after school hours). It is desirable for programs to have both treatment and educational components, such as, Individual and/or Family Counseling, Substance Abuse Education/Treatment, Restitution/Community Service, Tutoring, Alternative Education, Vocational Development and Structured Activities.

(Length of Stay= Not to exceed one year without detailed documentation of need, Frequency of Contact=NA)

POSSIBLE SPEP PRIMARY SERVICE CLASSIFICATIONS

For services which may be provided in the above types. Consider the following SPEP service types whether or not the service meets the optimal dosage.

- Individual Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=25, Optimal Target hours=30)
- Group Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=24, Optimal Target hours=40)
- Mixed Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=25, Optimal Target hours=25)

Could also have possible qualifying Supplemental Service of Behavioral Contracting/Management

- Family Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=20, Optimal Target hours=30)
- Family Crisis Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=4, Optimal Target hours=8)
- Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (Optimal Target Weeks=15, Optimal Target hours=45)
- Behavior management (Optimal Target Weeks=24, Optimal Target hours= 72) – The total programming structure and activities of the program are all tied into a behavior management environment which consists of earning points or tokens to achieve previously set goals. A behavior management classification should not be given to programs which merely use periodic rewards or incentives to increase motivation.

Could also have possible qualifying Supplemental Service of Mentoring, Mixed Counseling, or Remedial Academic Program

- Remedial Academic Program (Optimal Target Weeks=26, Optimal Target hours=100)

Could also have possible qualifying Supplemental Service of Job Training, Work Experience, Vocational Counseling

ASSESSMENT PROGRAMS

JCPC PROGRAM TYPES

Clinical Assessments or Psychological Evaluations: Clinical Evaluations and Assessments, including Psychological Evaluations to help court counselors and judges recommend the most appropriate consequences and treatment for court involved youth.

(Length of Stay= depends upon time needed to complete the assessment activity, Frequency of Contact=Not Specified)

POSSIBLE SPEP PRIMARY SERVICE CLASSIFICATIONS

For services which may be provided in the above types. Consider the following SPEP service types whether or not the service meets the optimal dosage.

- None

CLINICAL TREATMENT PROGRAMS

Programs in which a professional helps a juvenile and/or his or her families solve problems through goal directed planning. It may include individual, group, family counseling or a combination. It may have a particular focus such as sex offender treatment or substance abuse treatment. Services may be community or home based.

JCPC PROGRAM TYPES

Counseling: A treatment technique based on one-on-one (individual) or group meetings with a therapist or counselor focusing on individual psychological and/or interpersonal problems. May include cognitive skills/life skills. Category includes family, individual, and group counseling.

(Length of Stay=Not Specified, Frequency of Contact= no less than every two weeks)

Individual Counseling

POSSIBLE SPEP PRIMARY SERVICE CLASSIFICATIONS

For services which may be provided in the above types. Consider the following SPEP service types whether or not the service meets the optimal dosage.

- Individual Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=25, Optimal Target hours=30)
- Mixed Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=25, Optimal Target hours=25)

Could have possible qualifying Supplemental Service of Behavioral Contracting/Management

- Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (Optimal Target Weeks=15, Optimal Target hours=45)

Group Counseling

POSSIBLE SPEP PRIMARY SERVICE CLASSIFICATIONS

For services which may be provided in the above types. Consider the following SPEP service types whether or not the service meets the optimal dosage.

- Group Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=24, Optimal Target hours=40)
- Mixed Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=25, Optimal Target hours=25)

Could have possible qualifying Supplemental Service of Behavioral Contracting/Management

- Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (Optimal Target Weeks=15, Optimal Target hours=45)

Family Counseling

POSSIBLE SPEP PRIMARY SERVICE CLASSIFICATIONS

For services which may be provided in the above types. Consider the following SPEP service types whether or not the service meets the optimal dosage.

- Family Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=20, Optimal Target hours=30)
- Family Crisis Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=4, Optimal Target hours=8)
- Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (Optimal Target Weeks=15, Optimal Target hours=45)

Home Based Family Counseling: Provides short term, intensive services focusing on family interactions/dynamics and their link to delinquent behavior. Involves the entire family and is typically conducted in the home. May also include the availability of a trained individual to respond by phone or in person to crisis. The goal is to prevent delinquent and undisciplined behavior by enhancing family functioning and self-sufficiency.

(Length of Stay=six weeks to nine months, Frequency of Contact= at least three hours weekly)

POSSIBLE SPEP PRIMARY SERVICE CLASSIFICATIONS

For services which may be provided in the above types. Consider the following SPEP service types whether or not the service meets the optimal dosage.

- Family Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=20, Optimal Target hours=30)
- Family Crisis Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=4, Optimal Target hours=8)
- Mixed Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=25, Optimal Target hours=25)

Could have possible qualifying Supplemental Service of Behavioral Contracting/Management

Substance Abuse Treatment: In/Out-patient therapeutic services provided to juvenile offenders targeting substance abuse issues, including chemical dependency, alcoholism, and habitual or experimental use of other controlled substances. Personnel providing treatment must be licensed or certified to provide these services.

(Assumed to be the same as Counseling Services: Length of Stay=Not Specified, Frequency of Contact= no less than every two weeks)

POSSIBLE SPEP PRIMARY SERVICE CLASSIFICATIONS

For services which may be provided in the above types. Consider the following SPEP service types whether or not the service meets the optimal dosage.

- Individual Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=25, Optimal Target hours=30)
- Group Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=24, Optimal Target hours=40)
- Mixed Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=25, Optimal Target hours=25)

Could have possible qualifying Supplemental Service of Behavioral Contracting/Management

- Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (Optimal Target Weeks=15, Optimal Target hours=45)

Sexual Offender Treatment: Provides outpatient assessment and/or therapeutic services to juvenile offenders targeting inappropriate sexual conduct and offending behavior with clear focus on rehabilitation and accountability of the offender. Practiced primarily in groups, has a family focus, has designated follow-up procedures and is generally legally mandated.

(Length of Stay=1 ½ to 2 years, Frequency of Contact= weekly with declining frequency as the course of treatment concludes)

POSSIBLE SPEP PRIMARY SERVICE CLASSIFICATIONS

For services which may be provided in the above types. Consider the following SPEP service types whether or not the service meets the optimal dosage.

- Individual Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=25, Optimal Target hours=30)
- Group Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=24, Optimal Target hours=40)
- Mixed Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=25, Optimal Target hours=25)

Could have possible qualifying Supplemental Service of Behavioral Contracting/Management

- Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (Optimal Target Weeks=15, Optimal Target hours=45)

Note: The target weeks and target hours for the above listed counseling approaches may not be sufficient for Sex Offender Treatment.

RESTORATIVE PROGRAMS

Programs that offer immediate and short-term involvement with juveniles to focus on negative and/or offending behaviors with the aim of resolution of the presenting problem and extinction of behavior.

JCPC PROGRAM TYPES

Restitution/Community Service

Restitution: Programs that provide opportunities for offender to be accountable for their actions to the community and/or victim(s) through forms of payments or community service work that earns money to repay the victim(s).

Community Service: A court-ordered dispositional alternative for a delinquent juvenile, consistent with the requirements of G.S. 7A-649, and entailing, on the juvenile's part, for purposes of this definition either community service to redress an injury to any person or entity that has suffered loss or damage as a result of the offense committed by the juvenile. Services should be provided for diverted and/or adjudicated youth.

(Length of Stay: Diverted youth and Teen Court referrals= no more than 6 months,

Court supervision = one year unless otherwise ordered; Frequency of Contact= minimum twice per month and no less than 8 hours per month)

POSSIBLE SPEP PRIMARY SERVICE CLASSIFICATIONS

For services which may be provided in the above types. Consider the following SPEP service types whether or not the service meets the optimal dosage.

- Restitution/Community Service (Optimal Target Weeks=12, Optimal Target hours=60)

Mediation/Conflict Resolution: Programs offering a private process of negotiation conducted by a neutral, third party person, a Mediator. These programs offer immediate and short-term involvement with youth to focus on negative and/or offending behaviors. Mediation is a consensual decision-making process by parties who work towards a mutual understanding to resolve a problem or a dispute. Mediators do not counsel or give advice but facilitate communication among parties as the parties work to reach their own decision regarding resolution of their conflict. Services should be provided for diverted and/or adjudicated youth and may include victim/offender reconciliation.

(Length of Stay= No longer than 90 days, Frequency of Contact= Based upon the requirements of the program)

POSSIBLE SPEP PRIMARY SERVICE CLASSIFICATIONS

For services which may be provided in the above types. Consider the following SPEP service types whether or not the service meets the optimal dosage.

- Mediation (Optimal Target Weeks=4, Optimal Target hours=8)

Could also have possible qualifying Supplemental Service of Restitution/Community Service

Note: *A component that is teaching mediation or conflict resolution skills to clients who are not also at the same time engaged in mediation sessions should be classified as a different SPEP service. Such a service is more likely to be a skill building service such as social skills training.*

Note: *In counting dosage for Mediation, phone work with the client/family prior to the mediation session is included in the contact hours as well as the mediation session. If the mediation intervention also includes a conflict resolution class for the client, count those contact hours as well.*

Teen Court: Provides a diversion from juvenile court where trained adult and youth volunteers act as officials of the court to hear complaints. These programs make recommendations for appropriate sanctions including but not limited to community service and restitution (if applicable) to be assigned to the youth who have admitted committing minor delinquency and undisciplined complaints. Professional adult staff provides supervision of the court proceedings and any subsequent community service and/or restitution.

(Length of Stay= Not to exceed 120 days, however 60 additional days may be approved to complete sanctions; Frequency of Contact= Not Specified)

POSSIBLE SPEP PRIMARY SERVICE CLASSIFICATIONS

For services which may be provided in the above types. Consider the following SPEP service types whether or not the service meets the optimal dosage.

- None

NOTE: A SPEP classification may be considered for a Teen Court program that is directly providing a SPEP service to **ALL** of its youth (not referring it out). Possible SPEP services might include community service, conflict resolution, or possibly some other skill building service.

STRUCTURED ACTIVITIES PROGRAMS

Any non-residential program that provides a structured service plan of learning for the purpose of improving an individual's identified need(s) and with the purpose of improving the juveniles' (or parent's) skills or expanding their knowledge in a particular area, or enhancing academic performance.

JCPC PROGRAM TYPES

Mentoring: Provides opportunities for adult volunteers to be matched with delinquent or at-risk youth on a one-on-one basis. The mentor is an individual providing support, friendship, advice, and/or assistance to the juvenile. After recruitment, screening and training, the mentor spends time with the juvenile on a regular basis engaged in activities such as sports, movies, helping with homework, etc.

(Length of Stay= Minimum 1 year, Frequency of Contact= should average 2 hours per week)

POSSIBLE SPEP PRIMARY SERVICE CLASSIFICATIONS

For services which may be provided in the above types. Consider the following SPEP service types whether or not the service meets the optimal dosage.

- Mentoring (Optimal Target Weeks=24, Optimal Target hours=78)

Could also have possible qualifying Supplemental Service of Behavioral Management

- Behavior management (Optimal Target Weeks=24, Optimal Target hours= 72) – The total programming structure and activities of the program are all tied into a behavior management environment which consists of earning points or tokens to achieve previously set goals. A behavior management classification should not be given to programs which merely use periodic rewards or incentives to increase motivation.

Could also have possible qualifying Supplemental Service of Mentoring, Mixed Counseling, or Remedial Academic Program

Parent/Family Skill Building: Services that focus on interactional or interpersonal issues faced by a parent(s)/family of a juvenile. This service works to develop parenting skills, communication skills, discipline techniques, and other related skills. May include sessions for parents only and/or sessions for parents and their child(ren).

(Length of Stay= Minimum 12 weeks unless implementing a model program & following model specifications, Frequency of Contact= no less than 2 hours weekly)

POSSIBLE SPEP PRIMARY SERVICE CLASSIFICATIONS

For services which may be provided in the above types. Consider the following SPEP service types whether or not the service meets the optimal dosage.

- Social Skills Training (Optimal Target Weeks=16, Optimal Target hours=24)

NOTE: If ONLY parents are the recipients of this service then it cannot be SPEP classified. If the service includes the parent and child, drill down to see if the service is a Social Skills Training service or a Family Counseling service.

Interpersonal Skill Building: Services that focus on developing the social skills required for an individual to interact in a positive way with others. The basic skill model begins with an individual's goals, progresses to how these goals should be translated into appropriate and effective social behaviors, and concludes with the impact of the behavior on the social environment. Typical training techniques are instruction, modeling of behavior, practice and rehearsal, feedback, reinforcement. May also include training in a set of techniques, such as conflict resolution or decision making, that focus on how to effectively deal with specific types of problems or issues that an individual may confront in interacting with others.

(Length of Stay= Minimum 12 weeks unless implementing a model program & following model specifications, Frequency of Contact= no less than 2 hours weekly)

POSSIBLE SPEP PRIMARY SERVICE CLASSIFICATIONS

For services which may be provided in the above types. Consider the following SPEP service types whether or not the service meets the optimal dosage.

- Social Skills Training (Optimal Target Weeks=16, Optimal Target hours=24)
- Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (Optimal Target Weeks=15, Optimal Target hours=45)
- Behavior management (Optimal Target Weeks=24, Optimal Target hours= 72) – The total programming structure and activities of the program are all tied into a behavior management environment which consists of earning points or tokens to achieve previously set goals. A behavior management classification should not be given to programs which merely use periodic rewards or incentives to increase motivation.

Could also have possible qualifying Supplemental Service of Mentoring, Mixed Counseling, or Remedial Academic Program

Experiential Skill Building: Services that provide opportunities to juveniles using activities to develop skills. The activities may be highly related to the acquisition of the skill (i.e. Independent living skills training taught by having juveniles practice life skills such as laundry, washing dishes, balancing a checkbook) or may include adventure activities (such as rock climbing, rafting, backpacking, etc.) aimed at increasing self-esteem and building interpersonal skills to promote more appropriate behavior.

(Length of Stay= Minimum 12 weeks unless implementing a model program & following model specifications, Frequency of Contact= no less than 2 hours weekly)

POSSIBLE SPEP PRIMARY SERVICE CLASSIFICATIONS

For services which may be provided in the above types. Consider the following SPEP service types whether or not the service meets the optimal dosage.

- Challenge Programs (Optimal Target Weeks = 4, Optimal Target hours=60)

Could also have possible qualifying Supplemental Service of Group Counseling

Tutoring/Academic Enhancement: Services intended to supplement full time academic program by providing assistance with understanding and completing schoolwork and/or classes. May also provide trips designed to be an enrichment of or supplemental experience beyond the basic educational curriculum.

(Length of Stay= Minimum of 20 weeks, Frequency of Contact= No less than 2 hrs/week.)

POSSIBLE SPEP PRIMARY SERVICE CLASSIFICATIONS

For services which may be provided in the above types. Consider the following SPEP service types whether or not the service meets the optimal dosage.

- Remedial Academic Program (Optimal Target Weeks=26, Optimal Target hours=100)

Could also have possible qualifying Supplemental Service of Job Training, Work Experience, Vocational Counseling

Vocational Development: The overall emphasis focuses on preparing the juvenile to enter the work force by providing actual employment, job placement, non-paid work service (non-restitution based), job training or career counseling. These programs provide training to juveniles in a specific vocation, career exploration or career counseling, and/or job readiness.

(Length of Stay= Minimum 12 weeks unless implementing a model program & following model specifications, Frequency of Contact= no less than 2 hours weekly)

POSSIBLE SPEP PRIMARY SERVICE CLASSIFICATIONS

For services which may be provided in the above types. Consider the following SPEP service types whether or not the service meets the optimal dosage.

- Vocational Counseling (Optimal Target Weeks=25, Optimal Target hours = 40)

Could also have possible qualifying Supplemental Service of Remedial Academic Services

- Job Training (Optimal Target Weeks=25, Optimal Target hours=400)

Could also have possible qualifying Supplemental Service of Remedial Academic Services

- Job Placement (Optimal Target Weeks=26, Optimal Target hours=520)

Could also have possible qualifying Supplemental Service of Remedial Academic Services

ATTACHMENT C: Core Components

- 1. Defined protocol for program services and delivery.** In **Section IV. #2 Operation** of the program application the applicant must briefly describe either a manual or protocol that designates the method and manner of service delivery including the suggested number of sessions, content, and flow. Evidence of the said manual, or protocol, may include: treatment/intervention outline, curriculum, workbook/instructor's manual, lesson plan(s), or, a script. Individual Service/Treatment Plans are expected to show evidence of involvement of the juvenile and family in planning and are to include the client- specific concerns to be addressed, the intervention strategies to be utilized by the program staff to address those issues, and the planned/recommended frequency/duration of contact. Interventions, strategies, curriculum, frequency and duration should clearly be consistent with the manual/protocol.
- 2. Staff Training.** The applicant must comply with **JCPC Policy and Procedure requirements, specific to the program type of services being delivered**, in regard to staff and volunteer orientation and training. Direct program service staff is to possess the necessary training requirements that include licenses when applicable, degrees, credentials, and certifications required for this program type. Training sessions in program service delivery, clinical supervision when applicable, case staffing and/or consultation sessions are to be documented and maintained.
- 3. Internal Program Monitoring and Corrective Action.** In **Section IV. #3 Staff Positions** of the program application the applicant must briefly describe an established process by which a specified staff member monitors the delivery of program services for the purpose of examining how closely actual implementation matches the model/ protocol. Deviations from the model/protocol are to be addressed through written corrective actions. All Corrective Action findings are to be specified in writing, monitored, documented, and addressed accordingly.
- 4. Staff Evaluation.** In **Section IV. #3 Staff Positions** of the program application the applicant must briefly describe how staff will be evaluated on a specified schedule for compliance with the program/JCPC policies and model/protocol. Staff development plans are to be documented and implemented to address deviations and violations of program policies, models, or protocols. Overall work performance is to be formally and specifically appraised. Areas of improvement are to be identified including the knowledge, skills, and abilities necessary for enhancing program service delivery including, but not limited to customer service.
- 5. Program Effectiveness.** In **Section IV. #8 Intervention/Treatment** of the program application the applicant must briefly describe program protocol for determining and evaluating the effectiveness of its delivery of program services with all accepted referrals. This protocol must include a standardized approach for collecting, maintaining, and sharing effectiveness data.

ATTACHMENT D: Prioritized Programs for FY 22-23

1) Programming to assess and treat juveniles charged with sex offenses or identified problematic sexual behavior:

Target Population:

- a) Juveniles under this program priority shall be adjudicated of sexual offense
OR
- b) Charged with a sexual offense in pre-adjudication status when appropriate
OR
- c) Have identified or suspected problematic sexual behavior that requires an assessment or that behavior has been previously assessed

Vendors must include in their response detailed narratives addressing how their program will:

- a) Include evidence-based practices or evidence supported approaches for juveniles who have displayed problematic sexual behaviors or who have been adjudicated of sexual offense.
 - Provide information regarding formal or informal training successfully completed, addressing the clinical treatment of juveniles with a history of problematic sexual behaviors (PSB), specifying training programs/faculty and treatment modalities.
- b) Complete clinically driven, holistic, developmentally sensitive, and comprehensive assessments of a juvenile prior to initiating treatment and upon completion of treatment.
 - Provide information regarding formal or informal training successfully completed, addressing the clinical assessment of juveniles with a history of problematic sexual behaviors (PSB), specifying training programs/faculty and assessment strategies.
- c) Complete ongoing assessments and clinically monitor juvenile's progress throughout treatment
- d) Offer a service component that focuses on family engagement and education about problematic sexual behaviors (PSB), PSB treatment, PSB treatment goals and expectations of caregivers during treatment.
- e) Engage in existing multi-disciplinary teams within the communities or provide a plan to create or participate in those multi-disciplinary teams. (Child and Family Teams are not the targeted example of a team for this multidisciplinary team reference.)
- f) Engage with Youth Development Centers, Group Homes, or Residential Treatment providers to help safely and efficiently transition juveniles to their home or to the community.
- g) Include the program's plan for ensuring fidelity and measuring effectiveness of the program.
- h) Submit data to the department, upon request, regarding the juveniles they serve to include juvenile and caregiver participation in services relative to clinical intervention requirements, clinical performance, clinical outcomes (pre and post treatment clinical assessment measures), and child welfare involvement.

Vendors must not:

- a) Utilize approaches that are identified as treatment with the adult sexual offender population; including but not limited to, conducting polygraphs or plethysmographs
- b) Only complete risk assessments regarding the behavior or utilize standardized assessments. Although often these types of assessments are required for the JJ population, they cannot be the only assessment used to measure a juvenile's progress in treatment.

Data for this priority programing follows.

**Note: Data for FY 21-22 for YDC commitments and admission to JJ funded programs is not yet available.

System Flow of Youth with Sex Offenses

	FY 18-19 and FY 19-20				FY 20-21				FY 21-22 through November 22, 2021	
Juvenile Resident County	Distinct Juveniles with Sex Offense Complaints	Distinct SO Juveniles Admitted to Detention within 90 days of Complaint Received and Detained Reason was not for YDC	Distinct SO Juveniles Committed to YDC after SO Complaint Received for the same or a new SO complaint	Distinct SO Juveniles Admitted to JJ Funded Programs in 2 Year Period	Distinct Juveniles with Sex Offense Complaints	Distinct SO Juveniles Admitted to Detention within 90 days of Complaint Received and Detained Reason was not for YDC	Distinct SO Juveniles Committed to YDC after SO Complaint Received for the same or a new SO complaint	Distinct SO Juveniles Admitted to JJ Funded Programs in the year	Distinct Juveniles with Sex Offense Complaints	Distinct SO Juveniles Admitted to Detention within 90 days of Complaint Received and Detained Reason was not for YDC
STATE	946	93	11	390	393	56	7	100	221	31
Alamance	30	1	0	11	6	1	0	2	2	0
Alexander	8	2	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	0
Alleghany	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Anson	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	1	0
Ashe	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Avery	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0
Beaufort	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0
Bertie	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bladen	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Brunswick	17	5	0	5	7	0	0	1	4	2
Buncombe	20	0	0	8	11	1	0	6	2	1
Burke	10	1	0	11	3	1	0	0	3	0
Cabarrus	16	0	0	8	11	2	0	2	5	1
Caldwell	15	1	0	5	2	0	0	1	2	1
Camden	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carteret	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0
Caswell	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Catawba	29	1	0	15	11	1	1	5	3	0
Chatham	5	0	1	4	3	0	1	0	1	0

Cherokee	5	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chowan	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clay	3	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
Cleveland	10	2	0	1	7	1	0	0	4	2
Columbus	12	1	0	6	6	1	0	1	5	1
Craven	10	2	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	0
Cumberland	53	8	0	14	14	4	1	12	15	1
Currituck	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Dare	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	0
Davidson	15	1	0	3	6	1	0	4	3	0
Davie	6	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	0
Duplin	6	0	0	3	2	1	0	1	2	0
Durham	8	1	0	5	8	3	0	0	3	0
Edgecombe	5	2	0	0	11	1	0	1	3	0
Forsyth	16	0	0	5	6	1	0	0	5	0
Franklin	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	0
Gaston	12	0	0	8	6	0	0	2	6	2
Gates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Graham	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Granville	3	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
Guilford	51	2	0	29	29	1	0	8	10	0
Halifax	11	0	0	4	3	1	0	0	0	0
Harnett	11	2	1	4	4	2	0	0	5	1
Haywood	10	1	0	5	3	0	0	2	2	1
Henderson	8	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	0	0
Hertford	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hoke	9	3	0	1	5	1	0	0	2	1
Hyde	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iredell	36	9	0	16	14	3	0	13	6	2
Jackson	18	0	2	6	0	0	0	0	1	0
Johnston	22	0	0	4	7	0	0	1	7	2
Jones	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
Lee	8	0	0	3	4	1	1	0	2	0
Lenoir	12	1	0	3	3	0	0	0	5	1

Lincoln	12	2	0	7	7	0	0	1	3	1
Macon	7	2	0	1	3	0	0	1	2	0
Madison	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Martin	4	1	0	3	3	0	0	0	1	0
McDowell	8	1	1	8	5	1	1	2	1	0
Mecklenburg	50	4	0	3	26	5	0	3	12	3
Mitchell	4	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	2	0
Montgomery	1	0	0	9	1	0	0	0	2	0
Moore	6	0	0	1	4	0	0	1	0	0
Nash	6	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
New Hanover	23	2	0	31	8	0	0	7	2	0
Northampton	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Onslow	27	2	0	10	11	0	1	3	3	0
Orange	10	1	1	5	3	1	0	0	1	0
Pamlico	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pasquotank	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Pender	16	7	0	9	3	1	0	0	2	0
Perquimans	8	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	1	0
Person	7	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	0
Pitt	21	2	0	5	13	1	0	1	9	3
Polk	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Randolph	8	0	0	7	4	1	0	1	6	0
Richmond	3	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	3	1
Robeson	8	1	0	4	5	1	0	0	2	0
Rockingham	8	1	0	3	6	2	0	0	3	0
Rowan	11	1	0	6	5	0	0	0	0	0
Rutherford	6	1	0	0	4	1	0	0	2	0
Sampson	5	2	0	1	5	2	0	0	5	0
Scotland	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Stanly	5	0	0	3	2	1	0	0	2	2
Stokes	2	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0
Surry	9	1	0	3	4	0	0	0	4	0
Swain	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transylvania	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Tyrrell	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

Union	30	0	0	12	9	2	0	3	4	0
Vance	2	1	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	0
Wake	39	1	0	20	9	2	0	7	15	2
Warren	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Watauga	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	3	0	0	1	7	0	0	4	1	0
Wilkes	8	2	0	4	1	1	0	0	0	0
Wilson	20	1	1	3	5	1	0	0	3	0
Yadkin	0	0	0	1	4	2	1	1	2	0
Yancey	5	0	0	1	3	0	0	3	0	0

Top 5 Sex Offenses FY 20-21	Top 5 Sex Offenses FY 21-22 YTD
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sexual battery (26%) 2. Indecent liberties between children (16%) 3. First-degree statutory sexual offense: person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child less than 13 years old and the defendant is at least 12 years old and greater than or equal to 4 years older than the victim. (14%) 4. Crime against nature (6%) 5. Second-degree forcible sexual offense: engages in a sexual act with another person by force and against the will of the other person (6%) 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sexual Battery (20%) 2. Third degree sexual exploitation of a minor (12%) 3. First-degree statutory sexual offense: person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child less than 13 years old and the defendant is at least 12 years old and greater than or equal to 4 years older than the victim (12%) 4. Indecent liberties between children (11%) 5. Second-Degree Forcible Sexual Offense: engages in a sexual act with another person by force and against the will of the other person (7%)

2) Enhanced Assessments for those juveniles that are adjudicated with a suspicion of an SED/SMI/IDD/DD diagnosis and meet the criteria for Youth Development Centers or Psychiatric Residential Treatment Facilities.

Target Population

- a) Juveniles being served under this program priority are already adjudicated and are waiting on their disposition hearing
AND
- b) Are identified as needing an enhanced assessment before an appropriate disposition can be decided and an order for possible commitment to the most secure facilities in the juvenile justice system, Youth Development Centers or Psychiatric Residential Facilities.

NOTE: This target population was created by Senate Bill 207.

Assessment Description

Assessments under this program priority are intended to be more in depth than a Comprehensive Clinical Assessment (CCA). CCAs are typically a snapshot assessment at a point in time of what is happening for a juvenile. For juveniles in this targeted population the assessment shall be a comprehensive assessment of the juvenile's history which will guide the judicial decision-making process as to whether the diagnosis significantly contributed to the behaviors exhibited by the juvenile for which the juvenile is being adjudicated and upon which the disposition is being rendered in the juvenile court system.

Providers must already be completing these types of assessments in the community and be recognized in network with an identified MCO(s).

Assessments proposed under this program priority must include:

- a) A trauma screening
- b) An IDD/DD screening or rule out ability
- c) A TBI Flagging Question or Questions
- d) The required elements of the assessment under MCO Medicaid Funding
- e) The SED Checklist [found here](#)
- f) Specific wording guiding a judge on whether a juvenile has an SED or SMI or whether an IDD/DD or TBI is suspected and should be assessed further
- g) Assessments under this program priority must take a multidisciplinary approach to assessments to include but not limited to assessment on the following domains: School and Educational, Medical, Hospitalizations (Mental, Medical, etc), Trauma events and impacts, Mental Health, Developmental

Vendors responding to this prioritized programming should include a blank sample of their assessment if possible.

IDD/DD and TBI

All providers funded under this program priority will be required to flag potential rule out of IDD/DD or TBI for the juvenile being assessed and support with referrals to ongoing appropriate assessments as needed.

Allowable Cost:

This prioritized program is intended to increase the targeted population's ability to access an enhanced and appropriate assessment to ensure a holistic and complete version of the juvenile is being presented in juvenile court. It is the Department's intent to increase this access through Intensive Intervention funding.

All Vendors responding to this prioritized program shall already have the ability to bill MCO Medicaid for the described assessment when appropriate. The following bullets describe the allowable invoicing costs under this prioritized programming:

- Juvenile Justice Community Programs will negotiate a reimbursement rate with awarded Vendors per assessment, in full or in part for coverage. If MCO Medicaid has covered the cost of the assessment and the provider is invoicing for additional cost associated with court

appearance or the like (described in a following bullet), then the reimbursement from MCO Medicaid must be attached to the partial invoice.

- All providers requesting reimbursement for the full cost of the assessment from Juvenile Justice Community Programs must submit evidence that other reimbursement routes were attempted and denied first OR that the juvenile is uninsured or underinsured and does not qualify for Medicaid or other coverage.
- Providers may bill Juvenile Justice Community Programs the difference in cost between the assessment cost reimbursed by MCO Medicaid and assessment activities deemed necessary for the juvenile's assessment. Those activities include: required court appearances for the juvenile assessed, participation in court ordered care reviews for the juvenile assessed, collateral contacts deemed necessary for the assessment, or extensive travel to conduct face to face assessments.
- Juvenile Justice Community Programs will reimburse up to the agreed upon reimbursement rate with each awarded Vendor, full or in part for coverage. If MCO Medicaid has covered the cost of the assessment and the provider is invoicing for additional cost associated with court appearance, or the like, then the reimbursement from MCO Medicaid must be attached to the partial invoice.

Data for this prioritized programming follows.

****The data includes Cardinal in the MCO list, but responding Vendors should account for county realignment in their projected numbers to serve**

"Eligible" for YDC Commitment Level 3 Populations: Calendar Year 2020

Juveniles with a Felony A-E Complaint Received N=902 juveniles* <small>*some juveniles generated complaints in more than one county</small>	
Complaint County	Number of Juveniles
Alamance	9
Alexander	3
Alleghany	1
Anson	4
Avery	1
Beaufort	4
Bertie	2
Bladen	2
Brunswick	11
Buncombe	13
Burke	1
Cabarrus	20
Caldwell	1
Carteret	1
Catawba	5
Chatham	7
Chowan	7
Clay	1
Cleveland	10
Columbus	6
Craven	8
Cumberland	43
Davidson	16
Davie	1
Duplin	1
Durham	41
Edgecombe	18
Forsyth	38
Franklin	3
Gaston	9
Granville	13
Greene	6
Guilford	61
Halifax	13
Harnett	9
Haywood	4
Henderson	5
Hertford	1

Juveniles Disposed for a Serious Offense and Had 4 or More Delinquency History Points N=112 juveniles	
Court County	Number of Juveniles
Alamance	4
Beaufort	1
Brunswick	2
Buncombe	1
Burke	3
Cabarrus	1
Caldwell	1
Catawba	1
Chatham	1
Cleveland	2
Columbus	1
Cumberland	2
Dare	1
Forsyth	5
Gaston	3
Guilford	11
Halifax	3
Harnett	5
Iredell	3
Johnston	1
Jones	1
Lee	1
Lincoln	1
Martin	1
Mecklenburg	9
Nash	2
Onslow	3
Person	1
Pitt	6
Randolph	1
Richmond	1
Rockingham	3
Rowan	5
Scotland	2
Stanly	1
Stokes	1
Union	3
Wake	14

Hoke	2
Iredell	12
Johnston	10
Jones	1
Lee	4
Lenoir	9
Lincoln	2
Macon	1
Madison	1
Martin	4
McDowell	4
Mecklenburg	156
Montgomery	5
Moore	5
Nash	10
New Hanover	30
Northampton	4
Onslow	13
Orange	9
Pasquotank	4
Pender	5
Perquimans	2
Person	4
Pitt	26
Randolph	8
Richmond	7
Robeson	24
Rockingham	6
Rowan	16
Rutherford	6
Sampson	3
Scotland	5
Stanly	7
Surry	2
Swain	2
Transylvania	1
Union	19
Vance	8
Wake	42
Warren	1
Washington	1
Watauga	1
Wayne	18
Wilkes	3
Wilson	13
Yadkin	3
Yancey	3

Wayne	2
Wilkes	1
Yadkin	1

ATTACHMENT E: DPS Area Consultant County Assignments

EASTERN	CENTRAL	PIEDMONT	WESTERN
Pam Stokes, Area Manager 1. New Hanover 2. Pender	Lance Britt, Area Manager	Ronald Tillman, Area Manager 1. Anson 2. Richmond	Regina Arrowood, Area Manager
Trenee Townes 1. Camden 2. Chowan 3. Currituck 4. Dare 5. Gates 6. Pasquotank 7. Perquimans 8. Pitt	Eddie Crews 1. Caswell 2. Durham 3. Franklin 4. Granville 5. Johnston 6. Person 7. Vance 8. Warren	Rich Smith 1. Forsyth 2. Rockingham 3. Stokes 4. Surry	Megan Webster 1. Burke 2. Caldwell 3. Catawba 4. Polk 5. Wilkes 6. Ashe 7. Alleghany 8. Rutherford 9. McDowell 10. Yadkin
Nancy Hodges 1. Carteret 2. Craven 3. Duplin 4. Greene 5. Jones 6. Lenoir 7. Pamlico 8. Wayne	Toshina Wiggins 1. Alamance 2. Chatham 3. Orange 4. Wake	P. Scott Stoker 1. Alexander 2. Davidson 3. Davie 4. Iredell 5. Mecklenburg	Lorraine Williams 1. Buncombe 2. Cherokee 3. Clay 4. Graham 5. Haywood 6. Jackson 7. Macon 8. Swain 9. Henderson 10. Transylvania
Bill Batchelor 1. Beaufort 2. Hyde 3. Martin 4. Tyrrell 5. Washington	Crystal Bennett 1. Cumberland 2. Harnett 3. Lee 4. Sampson	Daniel Sevigny 1. Cabarrus 2. Montgomery 3. Moore 4. Randolph 5. Rowan	Melissa Johnson 1. Cleveland 2. Gaston 3. Avery 4. Madison 5. Lincoln 6. Mitchell 7. Yancey 8. Watauga
Mike Walston 1. Bertie 2. Edgecombe 3. Halifax 4. Hertford 5. Nash 6. Northampton 7. Wilson	Kelly Cribb 1. Bladen 2. Brunswick 3. Columbus 4. Hoke 5. Onslow 6. Robeson 7. Scotland	Sherri Hill 1. Stanly 2. Union 3. Guilford	
30 Counties	23 Counties	19 Counties	28 Counties

Attachment F: Intensive Intervention Services Data

County	FY 19-20		FY 20-21		FY 21-22 YTD*	
	Level II Distinct Juveniles	Level III Distinct Juveniles	Level II Distinct Juveniles	Level III Distinct Juveniles	Level II Distinct Juveniles	Level III Distinct Juveniles
Alamance	34	6	28	6	8	5
Alexander	3	1	1	2	0	2
Alleghany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Anson	1	4	2	2	3	2
Ashe	0	2	0	2	3	0
Avery	0	0	0	0	1	0
Beaufort	0	9	8	9	1	5
Bertie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bladen	1	1	1	0	1	0
Brunswick	8	1	16	0	2	2
Buncombe	3	3	8	6	2	2
Burke	4	5	10	4	3	2
Cabarrus	13	3	17	5	7	6
Caldwell	5	5	3	6	2	5
Camden	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carteret	3	1	3	2	3	2
Caswell	0	2	2	0	0	1
Catawba	8	7	7	7	5	4
Chatham	2	1	2	1	0	1
Cherokee	0	0	1	0	0	0
Chowan	0	2	3	2	0	1
Clay	1	0	0	0	0	0
Cleveland	10	2	17	6	4	6
Columbus	7	3	4	4	3	3
Craven	4	8	6	10	2	8
Cumberland	40	38	52	28	19	15

Currituck	1	0	1	0	1	0
Dare	1	1	0	0	0	0
Davidson	17	7	12	6	8	3
Davie	0	0	0	0	2	0
Duplin	4	0	3	2	3	1
Durham	14	4	17	4	12	3
Edgecombe	4	5	12	4	1	3
Forsyth	30	18	37	10	17	10
Franklin	1	0	6	0	0	0
Gaston	18	9	21	9	6	6
Gates	0	0	0	0	0	0
Graham	0	1	0	0	0	0
Granville	3	1	1	0	1	1
Greene	4	0	4	0	3	0
Guilford	50	46	76	49	29	39
Halifax	6	6	12	6	3	5
Harnett	12	2	16	3	5	4
Haywood	2	1	3	2	2	0
Henderson	4	0	3	1	0	1
Hertford	5	1	1	1	0	0
Hoke	7	1	10	1	2	2
Hyde	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iredell	20	15	18	13	8	7
Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Johnston	17	4	17	6	3	7
Jones	1	0	2	0	0	0
Lee	5	7	10	8	2	9
Lenoir	11	3	17	4	11	6
Lincoln	1	4	1	4	3	1
Macon	2	0	1	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	1	0	1	0

Martin	2	4	4	5	1	5
McDowell	4	2	5	3	1	2
Mecklenburg	55	62	36	50	30	19
Mitchell	1	1	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	2	0	5	0	0	0
Moore	6	3	5	3	2	2
Nash	3	9	10	5	0	5
New Hanover	17	13	41	13	19	14
Northampton	0	1	2	1	0	1
Onslow	19	15	19	17	8	11
Orange	3	0	6	0	2	0
Pamlico	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pasquotank	4	2	7	1	2	3
Pender	7	2	4	1	1	0
Perquimans	2	2	2	1	0	1
Person	1	4	5	1	0	1
Pitt	38	30	50	31	18	23
Polk	0	0	2	0	1	0
Randolph	7	0	10	2	2	2
Richmond	4	5	9	3	4	1
Robeson	3	2	9	3	2	3
Rockingham	12	10	15	10	5	8
Rowan	12	6	15	3	11	2
Rutherford	5	4	8	4	0	1
Sampson	5	3	10	2	3	1
Scotland	1	1	6	1	0	0
Stanly	1	3	1	4	2	1
Stokes	1	2	3	2	0	3
Surry	1	0	2	2	6	3
Swain	0	0	1	0	0	0
Transylvania	0	0	3	1	0	1

Tyrrell	1	0	1	0	0	0
Union	13	13	22	8	9	8
Vance	7	2	6	2	1	1
Wake	59	23	69	20	30	18
Warren	2	0	2	0	0	0
Washington	0	2	1	2	2	2
Watauga	2	0	4	0	0	0
Wayne	13	8	23	14	8	16
Wilkes	3	4	6	6	7	4
Wilson	13	4	17	3	11	4
Yadkin	4	1	1	2	0	2
Yancey	1	0	1	1	0	1
Distinct State	721	483	936	431	380	331

***YTD July 1 - November 30, 2021**

YDC Commitments, Detention Admissions and Distinct Juveniles Detained

County	FY 18-19 Commitments by County	FY 19-20 Commitments by County	FY 20-21 Commitments by County	FY 21-22 YTD* Commitments by County	Detention Admissions by County				FY 18-19 Distinct Juveniles Detained by County	FY 19-20 Distinct Juveniles Detained by County	FY 20-21 Distinct Juveniles Detained by County	FY 21-22 YTD* Distinct Juveniles Detained by County
					FY 18-19 Detention Admissions by County	FY 19-20 Detention Admissions by County	FY 20-21 Detention Admissions by County	FY 21-22 YTD* Detention Admissions by County				
Alamance	2	3	2	0	52	54	37	28	36	35	29	24
Alexander	0	0	2	0	8	4	3	1	7	4	3	1
Alleghany	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	1	0	0
Anson	1	0	0	2	7	2	10	5	5	2	8	4
Ashe	0	2	0	0	3	3	0	2	2	3	0	2
Avery	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0
Beaufort	4	2	4	0	17	15	19	11	14	14	17	9
Bertie	0	0	0	0	5	2	0	1	3	2	0	1
Bladen	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	3	2	1	1	3
Brunswick	0	0	0	2	22	19	12	4	14	11	10	4
Buncombe	1	1	2	0	56	52	48	29	37	39	40	24
Burke	1	3	2	0	27	19	16	6	20	18	13	5
Cabarrus	1	0	5	1	18	27	36	13	15	20	28	12
Caldwell	1	3	3	0	8	17	13	8	8	12	11	6
Camden	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	1	1	1	0
Carteret	0	1	1	0	6	6	5	7	5	6	5	5
Caswell	0	0	0	1	13	3	0	1	8	3	0	1
Catawba	2	2	3	0	47	27	36	11	37	25	29	9
Chatham	0	1	0	0	3	5	5	3	3	5	4	3
Cherokee	0	0	0	0	3	3	2	2	3	3	1	2
Chowan	0	2	0	0	2	7	6	0	2	5	4	0
Clay	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0
Cleveland	1	1	6	1	26	27	32	7	20	23	25	6
Columbus	0	3	0	2	18	13	14	9	12	11	11	9
Craven	2	1	4	1	7	9	13	8	6	8	11	7
Cumberland	18	7	9	0	160	138	159	57	124	105	119	46
Currituck	0	0	0	0	3	5	0	1	3	5	0	1
Dare	1	0	0	0	6	1	0	1	4	1	0	1
Davidson	3	2	1	1	31	23	20	12	27	22	16	11

Davie	0	0	0	0	9	4	3	2	6	4	3	2
Duplin	0	0	0	0	10	15	4	3	8	11	4	3
Durham	1	0	0	0	98	76	59	29	50	50	47	26
Edgecombe	1	1	3	0	11	17	40	12	9	14	31	10
Forsyth	4	6	2	4	91	88	119	50	56	58	89	47
Franklin	0	0	0	0	4	10	4	0	3	9	4	0
Gaston	2	0	5	0	107	74	61	31	72	59	51	28
Gates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Graham	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Granville	0	0	0	1	7	10	4	3	5	10	4	3
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	1	0	3	2	1
Guilford	16	17	17	8	167	226	192	101	119	156	139	93
Halifax	4	1	2	1	12	13	25	5	10	8	17	5
Harnett	0	0	2	0	14	14	14	1	12	14	11	1
Haywood	0	0	0	0	8	12	8	3	7	9	5	3
Henderson	0	0	1	0	21	17	7	5	18	15	7	4
Hertford	0	0	0	0	5	9	9	7	4	8	6	5
Hoke	0	0	0	1	7	5	13	3	7	4	13	3
Hyde	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0
Iredell	7	3	1	3	38	20	28	21	31	19	22	19
Jackson	0	0	0	0	5	5	2	0	3	5	2	0
Johnston	0	1	4	4	9	22	36	14	9	19	30	13
Jones	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	2	0	1	0
Lee	1	2	6	1	2	7	17	6	2	6	14	5
Lenoir	1	0	3	2	15	16	23	15	11	11	19	15
Lincoln	0	3	1	0	17	10	8	5	12	7	5	4
Macon	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	2	2	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	3	3	1	2	1	2	1	2
Martin	0	4	0	0	9	9	6	0	8	7	6	0
McDowell	0	0	2	0	12	7	4	0	11	6	4	0
Mecklenburg	26	10	3	2	313	329	366	166	190	203	228	132
Mitchell	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	1	2	5	0	1	2	5	0
Moore	2	1	2	0	2	5	5	0	2	5	5	0
Nash	3	2	0	4	16	20	19	9	12	17	19	9

New Hanover	4	5	3	4	42	41	64	26	32	31	45	24
Northampton	0	0	1	0	1	5	2	0	1	3	2	0
Onslow	3	7	8	2	47	60	35	14	30	41	26	14
Orange	0	0	0	0	5	11	23	8	5	9	18	4
Pamlico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pasquotank	2	0	0	2	6	7	7	5	6	7	7	5
Pender	1	1	0	0	16	5	5	5	13	5	5	4
Perquimans	0	0	0	0	1	3	5	0	1	2	5	0
Person	2	0	1	0	22	13	11	3	14	10	8	2
Pitt	6	15	16	6	76	80	69	25	49	54	58	23
Polk	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	1	3	0	1	1
Randolph	0	0	2	0	7	7	20	5	7	7	12	5
Richmond	0	2	0	0	13	13	13	7	10	7	12	7
Robeson	0	1	2	0	19	24	26	18	13	20	24	18
Rockingham	7	4	2	1	16	33	29	7	14	21	26	7
Rowan	1	2	1	0	8	16	5	4	6	11	5	4
Rutherford	2	1	0	0	19	17	11	5	15	12	9	4
Sampson	2	0	1	0	19	18	9	7	13	10	9	6
Scotland	1	0	0	0	9	6	10	11	5	5	7	11
Stanly	1	1	2	0	8	4	15	3	7	3	12	3
Stokes	0	2	2	2	7	5	7	2	7	4	5	2
Surry	0	0	2	1	8	7	11	5	6	5	10	4
Swain	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	2	2	1	1	2
Transylvania	0	0	1	0	3	2	2	1	2	2	2	1
Tyrrell	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
Union	8	2	5	2	37	29	45	15	33	25	36	13
Vance	1	0	0	0	35	24	18	10	20	19	17	9
Wake	5	6	6	3	112	115	127	86	72	90	88	71
Warren	0	0	0	0	2	3	2	0	2	3	1	0
Washington	1	1	1	1	4	6	4	2	3	5	4	2
Watauga	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
Wayne	4	3	9	4	30	18	33	7	18	16	26	6
Wilkes	2	2	2	1	42	23	33	20	36	20	23	17
Wilson	1	0	2	2	24	30	43	14	19	23	29	13
Yadkin	0	0	2	0	9	13	8	3	9	11	8	3

Yancey	0	0	1	0	2	0	3	0	2	0	2	0
Total	160	140	170	73	2,228	2,180	2,276	1,047	1,580	1,613	1,662	908

Attachment G: Attestation

Attestation to Viewing the Intensive Intervention Services Request for Proposal Information Session

This document is required for programs not previously funded under Intensive Intervention Services ONLY: Pursuant to G.S. 143B-811, *Intensive intervention services are evidence-based or research-supported community-based or residential services that are necessary for a juvenile, in order to (i) prevent the juvenile's commitment to a youth development center or detention facility or (ii) facilitate the juvenile's successful return to the community following commitment. In conducting the evaluation, the Department shall consider whether participation in intensive intervention services results in a reduction of court involvement among juveniles. The Department shall also determine whether the programs are achieving the goals and objectives of the Juvenile Justice Reform Act, S.L. 1998-202.*

Accordingly, all programs applying for Intensive Interventions funding must attend an information session before consideration of funding.

WHEREAS, the information session increases the program's understanding of the funding stream;

THEREFORE, ensuring a joint goal between the Department and the provider to ensure appropriate services under the Intensive Intervention funding stream.

FUTHERMORE, increasing the programs understanding of all other expectations and compliance under the funding stream.

New Programs applying to Intensive Intervention Services funding shall attest that they have watched the recording of the information session provided in this Request for Proposal by signing below:

Participant of the Agency

Date

Agency Witness

Date